Partner manual for digital FACT

What is FACT?

The Financial Administrative Capacity Tool (FACT) is used by ForumCiv to assess partner organisations’ financial and administrative capacity. The FACT-assessment itself is based on a set of questions divided into the following areas:

- The organisation (staff, signatories, delegation of authorities, donors, approval of costs)
- Budget (project budget, consolidated budget, financial follow-up, notes of budget approval)
- Accounting (system, chart of accounts, reconciliations, cash management)
- Guidelines and manuals (financial manual, procurement manual, anti-corruption policy)
- Audit (auditor agreement, ToR for audits, project audit, organisational audit, internal audit)

The FACT should not be considered as a complete tool for financial and administrative assessment and should be adapted to the context and organisation to which it is applied. For each assessment, questions that are missing should be added while questions that are not relevant should be skipped.

Digital FACT

The recent developments in travel and restrictions of physical meetings have required different approaches to how we do our work, not the least partner meetings and follow-up visits. ForumCiv have therefore done a digital adaptation of the FACT which this manual describes.

The Digital FACT can be used for regular organisational assessments, spot-checks and capacity-building. Therefore, you will hopefully find it useful not only as a tool for monitoring but also in strengthening your organisational capacity and increasing the understanding of new or existing partner organisations. While there are many benefits with the digital FACT, it requires the organisation to be assessed to have access to video communication, a stable internet connection and a scanner. This may exclude some organisations and need to be considered beforehand.

The process

The success of the digital FACT rests on two main factors, that all the steps of the process are given time and attention, and that the assessment is carried out by persons, preferably two, with good knowledge of the organisation and its activities, and experience in bookkeeping and internal financial control. As you will read in detail below, the assessment starts with the document review before moving on to the assessment meeting with the organisation, followed by potential completions, the concluding analysis and if needed a follow-up plan. Joining the operational and financial perspectives throughout the whole process will make the most of the assessment and ensure the findings that are useful for the organisation and yourself.
Preparing the assessment

When planning the digital FACT, make sure that you schedule sufficient time for the assessment meeting. 3-4 hours is recommended to also give time for potential follow-up questions.

In Appendix A you will find an invitation letter template to send to the organisation briefly explaining the FACT-assessment process together with a document list informing what the organisation needs to scan and send to you for review before the assessment. Some documents may be relevant to send digitally instead, or together with a scanned copy if a signature is needed. Remember to specify this in the document list. In turn, you may also need to specify what certain documents refer to, for example the project-related documents. With some re-phrasing the list can easily refer to documents related to projects or activities supported by other donors.

It is recommended that the organisation receives the invitation and the document list at least a month before the assessment meeting, and that you receive the requested documents at least two weeks before to have time to prepare.

Assessment

The FACT-assessment consist of a set of questions divided into five main areas which you will find in the assessment template in Appendix B. All the questions in the template have examples of answers or guidance on what to look for during the assessment. As mentioned, you can add and skip questions and assessment areas depending on their relevance and applicability in relation to the context and the organisation. In turn, additional questions may of course arise later in the process. Remember to continuously include these in the assessment template.

Some of the questions are best answered during the document review. This will help you to prepare for the meeting and make it more effective. Moreover, make sure that you are familiarised with the questions before the meeting. It is very important that you understand the meaning and purpose of each question to assess the organisation’s answer. Ask yourself if you know what type of answer each question demand and what follow-up questions are relevant in case you need to dig deeper or clarify what you are looking for. Do not be afraid to continue to ask questions until you get satisfactory answers. If an organisation cannot answer a question this should be clearly stated.

The assessment meeting also requires that you are familiarised with the documents in the document list. It is important that you know the purpose of each document, what information it should contain and who can/should take part of it in an organisation. In turn, all documents in the list may not be relevant for all contexts and organisations. Asking yourself what documents are “must haves”, “should haves” and “nice to haves” in relation to organisational and contextual differences can be a good starting point.

Note that spot-checks are part of the assessment meeting and require the organisation to show specific documents for the camera. All spot-checked documents should be sent as scanned copies after the meeting for analysis and verification.

Record the assessment meeting if possible. This is a great complement to the notes for the analysis afterwards but also serves a purpose in terms of internal control and transparency.
Analysis and follow-up

When you have looked at the documents, conducted the assessment meeting and have your questions answered, spend some time to analyse your findings before filling in the Conclusions-section. Remember to include any answers to follow-up questions and spot-checked documentation in your analysis if this is the case. Discussion is very important at this step for deciding what findings need to be followed up and how, which is why ForumCiv recommend that two persons conduct the assessment. This can be challenging depending on whether any general conditions and existing praxis can be followed when handling the findings.

If your conclusion results in that follow-up of the findings is required, this should be explicitly stated and formulated into concrete tasks in the assessment template. It should be clear and understandable to the organisation what it is required to address, by which time and how it will be followed-up.

When the assessment is completed, make sure that everything is filled in and save the assessment template as a pdf and send it to the organisation.

Process summary

- Familiarise yourself with the assessment template and the document list
- Make sure that the organisation has access to video communication, stable internet and a scanner
- Schedule the assessment (3-4 hours), send an invitation and the document list in advance (minimum 1 month)
  - If needed, make specifications in the document list
- Review the organisation documents ahead of the meeting, (minimum 2 weeks).
- Conduct the assessment meeting
  - If possible, record the meeting
- Analyse the findings
  - Include documentation received after the meeting
  - If applicable, formulate concrete tasks to follow-up, when and how
- Save the assessment template as pdf and send to the organisation

Good luck!
Appendix A – Invitation letter template and document list

Letter template for invite to assessment

Dear organisation,

We would like to invite you to a digital meeting for a financial and administrative capacity assessment of your organisation. This kind of assessment is done for the purpose of regular monitoring, to increase our understanding of your organisation, find out how we can strengthen our partnership and/or build capacity.

The assessment is based on ForumCiv’s Financial Administrative Capacity Tool (FACT) which looks at the structure of your organisation, the work of your board, how you work with budgeting/accounting and auditing, etc. This will hopefully provide insights into how and in what aspects you can strengthen your organisation’s financial and administrative capacity.

Before our meeting we would like you to gather and send some documents that we wish to look at and discuss together. The list of documents is attached to this mail. Please send copies of these to me at least NUMBER OF working days before the meeting. If you do not have all of these documents, don’t worry, just clearly state which ones are missing.

The assessment will require video communication during the whole meeting, and thus a stable internet connection. IF RELEVANT, ADD INFO ON VIDEO COMMUNICATION. If you have any questions or concerns regarding this, let me know as soon as possible so we can ensure that the assessment is carried out in a smooth and constructive way.

In order for us to have an interactive discussion, it is important that the following persons take part in the meeting:
- a board member, or someone with great knowledge of its work;
- a staff member working with the organisation’s finances, or someone with great knowledge of it;
- a project manager or equivalent.

We are looking forward to the assessment. If you have any questions before we meet, do not hesitate to contact me.

All the best,
Document list for organisation to send ahead of assessment

Remember to specify project documents, digital copies if applicable etc. if relevant

1. The organisation’s latest formalia documents
   1.1 Signed annual meeting minutes
   1.2 Signed activity report
   1.3 Signed annual report incl. income statement and balance report
   1.4 Audit report, signed by external auditor or trustee auditor if internal
   1.5 Signed document confirming authorised signatories
   1.6 Statutes with date of approval

2. The organisation’s delegation of authority

3. Organigram

4. List of donors

5. If applicable, signed agreement with subsequent partner

6. Signed project budget (if applicable, the last agreed)

7. Latest project annual financial report

8. Any documentation showing approval of budget changes

9. If applicable, signed document confirming fund transfer from subsequent partner

10. The organisation’s consolidated budget

11. General ledger

12. The chart of accounts

13. Latest bank account reconciliation

14. Financial manual

15. Rules for procurement

16. Anti-corruption policy

17. Signed agreement with auditor

18. Signed audit instructions for project (ToR) if not part of auditor agreement

19. If applicable, signed audit report of subsequent partner for all years of the project
Appendix B – Assessment template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation:</th>
<th>Project(s):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conducted by:</td>
<td>Date completed by:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assessment questions
Write your answer under each question. Remove the instructions/support texts when you are done.

1. The organisation

1.1 Members
How many registered members does the organisation have? Is there a member register with names and contact details? Is open membership to the organisation declared anywhere? How many members attended the last annual meeting (if such meetings are held)?
Verified by member register, the annual meeting minutes and the statutes.

1.2 Volunteers
How many volunteers are usually working for the organisation? What tasks are usually performed by members and/or volunteers?

1.3 The board
How is the board elected/appointed? Are there any family or legal relations to consider in the board? Is there a complaint mechanism?
Verified by the statutes.

1.4 Annual meeting
Does the organisation have annual meetings? Does it follow the statutes?
Verified by the annual meeting minutes the statutes.

1.5 Authorised signatories
Who are the authorised signatories? E.g. the chairman, the CEO and the financial manager.
Verified by constituent meeting protocol or other document confirming the authorised signatories.

1.6 Employees
Is any of the board members working as staff? How many are employed in the organisation? How many are paid? Are there job descriptions for different positions?

1.7 Internal auditor

Is there an internal auditor? How has that person been elected/appointed?

Verified by the annual meeting, the annual report and the statutes.

Shows that there is some form of internal control in the organisation. Should be independent from the board (chosen by the members at annual meeting, should not participate in the financial decisions of the board, should not have any family or legal relations to anyone in the board). Should regularly (at least once a year) audit the board, i.e. the organisation’s annual report.

1.8 Delegation of authority

What is the responsibility of the board? Is there staff? What are their responsibilities? Is the board separated from the staff and management? Who has authority over projects and/or the project(s) you are involved in? Who has the authority to hire staff? Who has the authority to decide on salary levels? How are salary levels and renumerations decided? Who prepares plans, budgets and reports and who approves them?

Is the above delegation of authority clearly documented and communicated?

Verified by the organisation’s delegation of authority.

1.9 Financial management

Do the organisation have a financial manual? Who can approve certain costs and what supporting documentation is required? How are payments made? Who approves them? Does it require the authorisation of two persons jointly? How many persons are working with the finances? Are financial tasks divided amongst staff in a way that ensures internal control? Who have access to bank accounts?

What are the routines for financial follow-up? Do the finance and programme staff submit financial reports to the board? How often? Do the reports include comparison between budgeted and actual income and spending?

Is there a guideline for how to manage exchange rate differences?

Does the organisation offer loans to staff or board members?

Is the above clearly documented and communicated?

Verified by financial manual and/or the statutes.

1.11 Donors

How many donors does the organisation have? How is this information shared within the organisation?

Verified by the annual report.

1.12 Partner agreement
Is it in line with the agreement with you? Are there any deviations? Does the agreement meet the standards you use? How much information is available to any subsequent partner?

1.13 Activity report

Are the past year’s operations in line with the organisation’s mission and vision? Does the organisation include own activities specifically to raise funds? If so, what are these?

1.14 Annual report

Is the result stated in the income statement the same as stated on the balance sheet? Are assets, equity and liabilities included in the balance sheet? Where does the annual revenue come from? What do the annual expenses go to? Does the organisation have equity, and if so, how much? Is the organisation dependent on a single/few/ or several diverse sources of income? Is it clear what donor is funding what costs? Is it clear that donors are not funding the same cost? What are the organisation’s current assets? What are the organisation’s current liabilities? Cash liquidity?

1.15 Other

What reports are asked for and sent to management and/or board?

How is human resource development planned and implemented?

How are risks identified and managed? How often is this followed-up?

How is organisational development planned and implemented? Any assessment/review of internal capacity as basis for this?

Is the board and/or programme staff aware of the legal requirements that apply to their organisation? (e.g. documentation, reporting, taxes, social security fees, etc.)

2. Budget

2.1 The budget for the project funded by your organisation

Check that the budget is in line with the agreed budget. Has the budget been changed? Which budget lines? Has your organisation approved the changes?

How is the budget shared within the organisation? E.g. at monthly meetings, weekly meetings. Are all members of the staff attending these meetings? If not, who are?

2.2 Cash flow analyses / Liquidity planning

How often are cash flow analyses being carried out / Liquidity planning? When are the responsible persons at the organisation discussing the financial status of the project? Is there always enough money in the bank account to cover the expenses? How is this discussed? By whom?

2.3 The disbursement requests from this year

What are the amounts requested from your organisation during the last 12 months? Are the requisition forms in line with the ones provided by ForumCiv?
2.4 The consolidated budget for the organisation

Is there a consolidated, total budget for the organisation? Does it include sources of income, use of funds and the organisation’s annual overhead costs? How can the project budget be located in the budget? Is it separated by cost centers, project, activity?

Are there minutes from when the budget was approved? Who attended the meeting?

3. Accounting

3.1 The chart of accounts

Is the international standard chart of accounts used? Is the chart of accounts also used for budgets and financial reports? Is cost centres used to classify transactions according to project or activity?

3.2 The latest bank account reconciliation of the funding from your organisation

When was the last reconciliation made against the project’s costs? Check against the latest financial report. How much money is there in the bank account? There should not be less money in the bank account than left to spend. Who checks the reconciliation and who prepares it?

3.3 Check supporting documents and verifications of costs

Spot-check: Pick random costs from the general ledger and ask for their supporting documentation to verify. Note that all spot-checked documents should be sent as scanned copies after the meeting for analysis and verification.

Standard costs to verify should include:

- Tax is deducted and social security contributions are paid (verified by pay-checks and payments to tax authority).
- Workshops (verified by participants lists with place and date, receipts for food, invitation, schedule for the event, receipts signed by participants).

Other examples to verify:

- A random cost per month during the last 12 months
- Consultancy
- 

There should be a clear link between the petty cash/bank statement and the voucher depending on how it has been paid for. The number on the voucher should match the number in the general ledger. The voucher should be signed by relevant signatory/ies.

3.4 Check books and cash management

Spot-check: Make sure that no checks are signed without an amount and description written on them.

Are there any advances on cash payments (travel allowances etc.)? Are there any policies limiting the amount of cash at the office? What is the limit of cash payments and amount of cash at the office? How much cash is in the petty cash box? Who has the key to it? How is the cash accounted for? Is the person checking the record different from who writes them?

3.5 Accounting system
Which system is being used? Is it based on double entry bookkeeping? Is it a computerised system? Is there more than one accounting system? Does it seem to be updated regularly? Does each bank account have a separate ledger?

Does every transaction have a date, amount and description?

3.6 Check if there have been procurements

Spot-check: If so, does it follow the procurement policy? Have price comparisons/quotations been obtained? Is there a meeting protocol to verify the process?

4. Guidelines and manuals

4.1 The financial manual

What is the level of detail? What are the rules for petty cash? Are there job descriptions?

4.2 Rules for procurement

Are they in line with the procurement policy you use?

4.3 Anti-corruption policy

Is it in line with the anti-corruption policy you use? Does it describe procedures and routines for how to handle corruption, suspected or actual? Does it describe how risks of corruption are analysed and mitigated? Is there dedicated staff working with anti-corruption? Does the organisation have a whistle-blowing system?

5. Audit

5.1 The agreement with the auditor

Has the ToR provided by your organisation been used? If not, what are the differences between the one used and the one provided by your organisation? What is the name of the auditor and audit firm? Is the auditor certified? How long has the auditor been used?

5.2 The latest project audit report

Has it been done in accordance with, if applicable, ForumCiv’s audit instructions? What are the conclusions from the auditor? State the deviations and/or recommendations and list the audit findings. Is there a management response?

5.3 The yearly organisational audit report

Is there a yearly audit for the organisation? Does the auditor recommend that the board is discharged from responsibility? Is the auditor of the report internal or external? If external, is the auditor certified and independent from the organisation? Who appoints the auditor?

Has the auditor made any remarks, communicated through a management letter? If so, does the organisation have an action plan to address these, set out in a management response? Has the organisation taken necessary actions to address any previous audit remarks?

If applicable: Do the audit show income from and expenditures allocated to each source of income? Does the financial information in the audit reports correlate with the budgets and financial reports?
the structure of presented financial information in the audit reports harmonized with the structure of presented budgets and financial reports? Any system audit done on the organisation recently?

5.4 The internal audit report

Are there internal audits being carried out? What tool is used?

6. Conclusions

6.1 Most important findings

Write a brief analysis of your most important findings.

6.2 Findings to follow-up

Note any findings that should be followed-up and whether they require an action plan from the organisation.

If there are no findings to follow-up this should be stated.

Document list with explanations

1. The organisation’s latest formalia documents

1.1 Signed annual meeting minutes

Outlines the organisation’s democratic process for determining who should manage the organisation, how its resources should be used, whether the board is given discharge etc. Indicates if/how many members are engaged in the organisation and whether the organisation follows its own statutes.

1.2 Signed activity report

Outlines the organisation’s operations during the last year. Presented at the annual meeting and follow the organisation’s vision, mission and values.

1.3 Signed annual report incl. income statement and balance report

The income and expenditure statement presents the net profit/loss of the year. It includes all the revenues and expenses the organization has had during the year. The balance sheet gives a snapshot of the organisation’s assets and liabilities at a given date. A cash flow statement shows how cash enters and leaves (only applicable for bigger organisations).

1.4 Audit report, signed by external auditor, or internal auditor if applicable

Verification that the organisation’s annual financial report has been reviewed (audited). Concludes whether the board can be discharged from its responsibility of managing the organisation’s finances from the past year. If applicable, it should include remarks from the auditor, the organisation’s plan address these, or those from previous audit remarks.
1.5 Signed document confirming authorised signatories
Every organisation appoints its authorised signatories. This is usually done by the board at its first meeting after the annual meeting, often called constituent board meeting.

1.6 Statutes with date of approval
Describes the aim and vision and the overall governance of the organisation.

2. The organisation’s delegation of authority
Describes how the organisation’s governance system and authority/decision-making is structured.

3. Organigram
To see how the organisation is structured.

4. List of donors
To see how the organisation is funded and by whom. Other documentation should verify how the information on donors is shared in the organisation.

5. If applicable, signed agreement with subsequent partner
Good to read this document to see to what extent it reflects the agreement ForumCiv signs with the organisation and whether all information is available to the local partner. The agreement should include all the important documents (agreement doc, budget, matrix, general conditions, audit instructions, procurement, anti-corruption).

6. Project budget
There should also be a detailed budget. All partners should have this.

7. Latest project annual financial report
This should be available to everyone in the organisation.

8. Any documentation showing approval of budget changes
There should be approval decisions from ForumCiv, and any partner “in between”.

The way it should work = the local partner has a tentative budget in the local currency. If this budget is then approved by the Swedish partner and ForumCiv, that budget is transformed into SEK. When the project is implemented, the costs are documented in the local currency. So, there should be a budget in SEK that is part of the agreement and another budget in the local currency.

9. If applicable, document confirming fund transfer from subsequent partner
Should be comparable with the requisitions.

10. The organisation’s consolidated budget
This is the budget for the whole organisation. It should include all sources of income, donors, use of funds and overhead costs for the year.
11. General ledger
There should be a general ledger for the entire organisation, and within that, there are more detailed sections. The general ledger shows the bookkeeping sorted according to the chart of accounts. It should include all the transactions (money paid out and money received) in the organisation and be able to give an overview during a given time period. It should be easy to read the income statement and balance sheet.

Each transaction has a number that should be able to be traced to the bookkeeping program or the bookkeeping book. There should be vouchers (verifikat) for all transactions and there should be supporting documents (underlag) for these vouchers (e.g. receipt, invoice, etc.). All vouchers should be signed – to show that the transaction has been approved. There often won’t be supporting documents for small amounts – and that’s okay. And the type of supporting documents can vary depending on the type of activity and the context.

12. The chart of accounts
To keep track of transactions in the general ledger, an organisation assigns codes to transactions to keep track of them in the general ledger. Chart of accounts is the name of the set of accounting codes that an organisation uses. This is needed to be able to have a proper accounting process. ForumCiv uses a European standard, but organisations are free to go by other standards.

13. Latest bank account reconciliation
The bank account reconciliation compares the bank statement balance and bank ledger closing balance to check the accuracy of accounts. In Sweden this is looked over/documents at the end of every month. In turn, as many organisations have petty cash (handkassa), the balance of the petty cash account should be checked by a cash count.

Each transaction has a number that should be able to be traced to the bookkeeping program or the bookkeeping book. There should be vouchers (verifikat) for all transactions and there should be supporting documents (underlag) for these vouchers (e.g. receipt, invoice, etc.). All vouchers should be signed – to show that the transaction has been approved. There often won’t be supporting documents for small amounts – and that’s okay. And the type of supporting documents can vary depending on the type of activity and the context.

14. Financial manual
A document describing how the financial routines look like and who signs and oversees what costs. A smaller organisation might have this document established but should have some set routines.

15. Rules for procurement
Organisations can use ForumCiv’s version.

16. Anti-corruption policy
Organisations usually use ForumCiv’s version,
17. Agreement with auditor
In general, there should be an agreement for good practice. If the cost for the auditor requires a procurement process, there must be an agreement. In Sweden, the organisation’s members choose the auditor. This is not practice everywhere. In practice, the auditor is usually appointed by the organisation to audit a certain project.

18. Signed audit instructions for project (ToR)
To see that its clear what the auditor should look at. If the audit instructions already are a part of the signed auditor agreement, the ToR does not need to be signed separately.

19. If applicable, signed audit report of subsequent partner for all years of the project
To make sure that the project is correctly audited in all subsequent steps and that any findings has been communicated to the organisation.